### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING ALITHORITY

FIGHT THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY			
To: SAMIR A. BHAVSAR	PCT		
BAKER BOTTS LLP 2001 ROSS AVENUE DALLAS, TX 75201  DOCKETED	NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT AND THE WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY. OR THE DECLARATION		
	(PCT Rule 44.1)		
	Date of mailing (day/month/year) 26 APR 2007		
Applicant's or agent's file reference 075234.0205	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below		
International application No. PCT/US05/47027	International filing date (day/month/year) 22 December 2005 (22.12.2005)		
Applicant CFPH, LLC			
The applicant is hereby notified that the international sear have been established and are transmitted herewith.	rch report and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority		
Filing of amendments and statement under Article 19. The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the cla	: tims of the international application (see Rule 46);		
	normally two months from the date of transmittal of the international		
Where? Directly to the International Bureau of WIPC 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Facsimile No.	0. 34 chemin des Colombettes :: (41-22) 338.82.70.		
For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the a			
2. The applicant is hereby notified that no international search	ch report will be established and that the declaration under ne International Searching Authority are transmitted herewith.		
With regard to the protest against payment of (an) additional fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that:			
	en transmitted to the International Bureau together with the applicant's		
no decision has been made yet on the protest: the app			
4. Reminders			
Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone publication	the international application will be published by the International on, a notice of withdrawal of the international application, or of the Rules 90bis.1 and 90bis.3, respectively, before the completion of the		
International Bureau. The International Bureau will send a copy	the written opinion of the International Searching Authority to the of such comments to all designated Offices unless an international These comments would also be made available to the public but not		
examination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone the	of some designated Offices. a demand for international preliminary entry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority date in 20 months from the priority date, perform the prescribed acts for		
In respect of other designated Offices, the time limit of 30 months	s (or later) will apply even if no demand is filed within 19 months.		
See the Annex to Form PCT/IB/301 and, for details about the approximately Volume II, National Chapters and the WIPO Internet site.	plicable time limits, Office by Office, see the PCT Applicant's Guide,		
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US	Authorized officer		

P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201
Form PCT/ISA/220 (January 2004)

Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents

(See notes on accompanying sheet)

Telephone No. (571) 272-3750

### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

# **PCT**

### INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 075234.0205	FOR FURTHER ACTION	see Form PCT/ISA/22 s well as, where applicable, iter	
International application No. PCT/US05/47027	International filing date (day/mo 22 December 2005 (22.12.2005	nth/year) (Earliest) Prio	ority Date (day/month/year) r 2004 (22.12.2004)
Applicani CFPH, LLC			
This international search report has been according to Article 18. A copy is being  This international search report consists of	transmitted to the International	arching Authority and is tr Bureau.	ansmitted to the applicant
l <u>Fa</u>	by a copy of each prior art docu	ment cited in this report.	
a translation of the of a translation of the of a translation function is b. With regard to any nucleotid 2. Certain claims were found at Unity of invention is lacking 4. With regard to the title, the text is approved as submit	application in the language in whice international application into rnished for the purposes of internate and/or amino acid sequence disussearchable (See Box No. II) g (See Box No. III)	h it was filed. tional search (Rules 12.3(a) sclosed in the international a	
5. With regard to the abstract, the text is approved as submit the text has been established,	according to Rule 38.2(b), by this	Authority as it appears in Bo	ox No.IV. The applicant
6. With regard to the <b>drawings</b> , a. the figure of the <b>drawings</b> to be put as suggested by the approximate as selected by this August	pplicant. hthority, because the applicant fail hthority, because this figure better	No. <u>I</u> ed to suggest a figure.	omments to this Authority.

Form PCT/ISA/210 (first sheet) (April 2005)

#### INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US05/47027

CI A	COLETO A TION OF GLUDIEGE MA TEED		
	SSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER		
IPC:	A63F 13/00( 2006.01)		
	A63F 13/00( 2006.01)		
Liebo	140.044.05		
USPC:	463/16,25		
According to	International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both n	ational classification and IPC	
	• •		
B. FIEL	DS SEARCHED		<del></del>
			- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Minimum do	cumentation searched (classification system followed	by classification symbols)	
U.S. : 46	63/16.25		
Documentati	on searched other than minimum documentation to the	e extent that such documents are included in	the fields searched
Electronic da	ta base consulted during the international search (nam	ne of data base and, where practicable search	h terms used)
US-PAT, US	-PGPUB, EPO, JPO - lay, back, field, favorite, wager	bet, gamble	(5.7115 4354)
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
C. DOC	UMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where	appropriate of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
- X			
	WO 01/65508 A2 (SATTERFIELD et al) 07 Septer	mber 2001 (07.09.2001), pages 1-2, 27,	1-4, 8-9, 16-18
Y	30, 34, 36 & Abstarct		
1			12-15, 19-30
Y	US 5.687,968 A (Tarantino) 18 November 1997 (18	.11.1997), column 1	12-15, 19-30
			i
		İ	
			,
			1
		•	
			i i
Further	documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.	See patent family annex.	
* Sr	pecial categories of cited documents:	"T" later document published after the intern	ational filing date or priority
		date and not in conflict with the applicat	ion but cited to understand the
"A" document particular	defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of	principle or theory underlying the invent	ion
particular	cievance	"X" document of particular relevance; the cla	imad invastica accurat h
"E" earlier app	lication or patent published on or after the international filing date	considered novel or cannot be considered	
	·	when the document is taken alone	
"L" document	which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to be publication date of another citation or other special reason (as	437 <sup>m</sup> 1 2 2 2 3 1 1 2 2 4 3 1 1 2 4 3 1 2 4 3 1 2 4 3 1 1 2 4 3 1 1 2 4 3 1 1 2 4 3 1 1 2 4 3 1 1 2 4 3 1 1 2 4 3 1 1 1 2 4 3 1 1 1 2 4 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
specified)	ne phoneation date of another charlott of other special reason (as	"Y" document of particular relevance; the cla considered to involve an inventive step v	
• ,		with one or more other such documents,	
"O" document	referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	obvious to a person skilled in the art	
"P" document	published prior to the international filing date but later than the	***	1
priority dat		"&" document member of the same patent far	nily
Date of the act	tual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the international search	report
10 March 2007 (10.03.2007) <b>9 &amp; ADD</b> 2007			
	ling address of the ISA/US	Authorized officer K ZUU/	
			1,
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents  ROBert 1450  Robert Pezzuto Sharen Milese		reen you	
	Box 1450		
Alex	andria, Virginia 22313-1450	Telephone No. (571) 272-3750	
Facsimile No.	(571) 273-3201		ļ
D.CTE (I.C. )	<del></del>	t	

Form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) (April 2005)

### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

INTERNATIONAL SEARCHIN	G AUTHORITY	, 		
To: SAMIR A. BHAVSAR BAKER BOTTS LLP 2001 ROSS AVENUE				PCT
DALLAS, TX 75201				RITTEN OPINION OF THE ONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY
				(PCT Rule 43bis.1)
			Date of mailing (day/month/year)	
Applicant's or agent's file refer	ence		FOR FURTHER	
075234.0205	·-·		See paragraph 2 below	
International application No.	Interr	national filing date	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)
PCT/US05/47027		ecember 2005 (22.1		22 December 2004 (22.12.2004)
International Patent Classificati	on (IPC) or both	national classificati	ion and IPC	
IPC: A63F 13/00( 2006.01	)			
USPC: 463/16.25 Applicant				
CFPH. LLC				
1. This opinion contains indic	ations relating to	the following item	s:	
Box No. I B.	asis of the opinio	n		
	iority			
Box No. III N	on-establishment	of opinion with reg	gard to novelty, inve	entive step and industrial applicability
Box No. IV La	ack of unity of in	vention		
			I(a)(i) with regard to s supporting such s	o novelty, inventive step or industrial tatement
Box No. VI Co	ertain documents	cited		
Box No. VII Co	ertain defects in t	he international app	olication	
Box No. VIII Co	ertain observation	ns on the internation	al application	
2. FURTHER ACTION				
International Preliminary	Examining Auth- ne to be the IPE	ority ("IPEA") exe A and the chosen I	cept that this does PEA has notified the	be considered to be a written opinion of the not apply where the applicant chooses an le International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) ered.
If this opinion is, as provid IPEA a written reply togeth of Form PCT/ISA/220 or bo	ier, where approp	oriate, with amenda	nents, before the ex	PEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the piration of 3 months from the date of mailing whichever expires later.
For further options, see For	m PCT/ISA/220.			
3. For further details, see note:	s to Form PCT/IS	SA/220.		
Name and mailing address of th		Date of complet	ion of this opinion	Authorized officer
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA Commissioner for Patents		15 March 2007 (	(15.03.2007)	Robert Egzyan // Bleef
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 223	13-1450			Telephone No. (571) 272-3750

Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201
Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (April 2005)

International application No.	
PCT/US05/47027	

Box N	o. I Basis of this opinion
1. With	regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
$\boxtimes$	the international application in the language in which it was filed
	a translation of the international application into, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).
	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed tion, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
a.	type of material
	a sequence listing
	table(s) related to the sequence listing
b.	format of material
	on paper
	in electronic form
С.	time of filing/furnishing
	contained in the international application as filed.
	filed together with the international application in electronic form.
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additi	ional comments:
	•

International application No. PCT/US05/47027

Claims <u>5-7, 10-15, 19-30</u> Claims <u>1-4, 8-9, 16-18</u>	
Claims <u>1-4, 8-9, 16-18</u>	
Claims <u>1-30</u> Claims NONE	YES
	:
·	
	Claims NONE Claims 1-30 Claims NONE Claims NONE

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. V) (April 2005)

International application No. PCT/US05/47027

Supplemental Box In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.
·
V. 2. Citations and Explanations: Claim 1-4, 8-9, and 16-18 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Satterfield et al (WO 01/65508 A2).
Claim 1: Satterfield et al teaches an method of managing bets that allows a user to enter wager criteria directed to a particular track, horse, jockey, trainer, racetrack surface, race distance, statistics, silks, odds and/or change in odds into an automated system and upon the satisfaction of the criteria entered by the user, automatically place a wager on respective participants at some time after receiving the wager criteria (See pages 2-3, 30, & 34-36). The method of Satterfield et al provides for the placement of wager criteria prior to the identification of participants in an event and therefore teaches allowing the user to wager on an "undefined subset" of the participants competing in a race.
Satterfield et al additionally teaches monitoring the outcome of the race event and accounting for the respective wager outcom (See pages 27:18-28, 35:14-26).
Claims 2-4, and 8: The disclosure of Satterfield teaches the use of Boolean operators (i.e. AND, OR, NOT) to include or exclude any number of participants from a given wager (See pages 34:20-35:13) and participants according to their odds (See page 2:15-25).
Claim 9: The disclosure of Satterfield teaches the receipt of information indicating that planned participants in a race will not participat

amounts, while the determination of the bet payout amount in the odds based system of Satterfield is inherently based on the amount of wager, and the payout odds for the winning wager. Claim 12-15, and 19-30 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Satterfield et al (WO 01/65508 A2) in

Claims 16-18: The disclosure of Satterfield teaches the automated placement of win type bets and the delivery of resultant payout

Claim 12-15, and 19-30 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Satterfield et al (WO 01/65308 A2) in view of Tarantino (US 5,687,968).

Claims 12-13, 19-21, and 29: Satterfield teaches the invention as set forth above however is silent regarding the utilization of odds determined at the time the bet was placed or at a time after the bet was placed to calculate the payout amount however as one of ordinary skill in the art would have required a defined period for determining the odds later utilized to calculate winning payout amounts on a race in the invention of Satterfield it would have been obvious at the time of invention for one of ordinary skill in the art to employ known manners and time periods for determining the odds including setting the odds at the time of the wager placement and setting the odds after the close of wagering as taught by Tarantino (Tarantino Col 1:18-51).

Claims 14-15, and 24-25: Satterfield teaches the invention as set forth above however is silent regarding the incorporation of a pari-

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Supplemental Box) (April 2005)

in said race and therefore is unavailable to wager upon ("Scratch" See page 13:3:12).

International application No. PCT/US05/47027

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

mutuel wagering system including a commission rate, however in a related invention additionally directed to horse wagering. Tarantino teaches that the incorporation of a pari-mutuel wagering system including a commission rate is commonly known in horse wagering systems (*Tarantino* Col 1:8-16). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have incorporated the pari-mutuel wagering system including a commission rate to ensure that the wagering facility is able to extend and accept wagers without having an interest in the outcome of a race and while still ensuring a fixed profit margin.

Claims 26-27: As set forth above in at least the redress of claims 2 and 16, Satterfield et al teaches allowing a user to place multiple win type bets on race participants and the determining of a payout amount of winning wagers based on a wager amount and determine odds.

Claim 28: This claim as presented, sets forth that the determination odds for a particular outcome of an event is defined in part by the odds for any remaining possible outcomes for an event. This feature as presented is understood to be an implicit feature of a pari-mutuel system wherein wagers and odds must be balanced prior to the race in order to ensure that the wagering facility does not have monetary interest in a particular race outcome.

Claim 30: The combination of Satterfield et al/Tarantino teaches the modification of the odds at least once during the wagering period (Tarantino Col 1:20-30).

Claims 40-41: The disclosure of Satterfield teaches the automated placement of win type bets and the delivery of resultant payout amounts, the determination of the bet payout amount in the odds based system of Satterfield is inherently based on the amount of wager, and the payout odds for the winning wager.

Claim 22-23,: Satterfield et al/Tarantino teaches the method and apparatus as taught above however is arguably silent regarding the specific method of wagering against a horse to win or alternately stated as wagering for a horse to lose a race however it was exceptionally old and well known in the art at the time of invention and common convention to wager for a horse to lose a race and that such wagers have been commonly referred to as "Laying a horse to lose", "Laying the favorite", "Backing the field". It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have utilized the invention of Satterfield et al/Tarantino to place wagers for at least one horse to lose a race in order to employ conventional wagering types while wagering on horse races and/or provide a greater variety of wager types to wagering patrons beyond conventional win types wagers.

Claim 5-7 and 10-11 meets the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest defining the terms of a wager after the wager has been extended and excepted.

Claims 1-30 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.